

## REMARKS

### Request for Reconsideration

Applicants have carefully considered the matters raised in the Office Action, but remain of the opinion that patentable subject matter is present. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the Examiner's position based on the above amendments to the claims, and the following remarks.

### Claim Status and Amendments

Claims 1-11 and 14-16 are presented for further prosecution. Claims 12 and 13 have been cancelled.

All claims have been amended to be in consistence with the US practice.

Claims 1, 14 and 15 has been further amended herein to emphasize that the syntax means is adapted to identify predetermined syntax arrangements of groups of words formed into clauses or sentences in inputted text, and to provide alternative clauses or sentences in which the syntax arrangement of the group of words has been changed.

Claim 3 has been further amended to clarify the syntax arrangements are in the alternative.

Claim 15 has also been amended by replacing the capital letters by lower case letters in the steps and replacing the period by semicolon in the step 1.

Claim 16 has been further amended to correct a typo error on the depended claim.

No new matter has been introduced by these amendments.

### The Office Action

#### 1. Claim Objection to Claim 15

Claim 15 has been amended to replace the period by semicolon in the step 1.

2. Claim Rejection to Claim 13  
Claim 13 has been cancelled.

3. Claim Rejections under 35 USC § 112  
Claims 3-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite.  
Claim 3 has been amended to clarify the syntax arrangements are in the alternative.

Claim 12 has been cancelled.

4. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102  
Claims 1-11 and 14-16 had been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Arnold et al.

It is respectfully submitted that Arnold does not teach a syntax evaluation and amendment means adapted to identify predetermined syntax arrangements of groups of words formed into clauses or sentences in inputted text, and to provide alternative clauses or sentences in which the syntax arrangement of the group of words is different. There is a fundamental difference between simply replacing individual words with other words, as in Arnold, and actually altering the construction of a sentence, i.e. the syntax, as in the present invention.

1) Arnold only makes semantics changes, while the present invention changes the syntax.

Arnold provides a word processing program determine the semantic impact of the text, (Fig. 2, Phase 2 and column 4, lines 51-59), and altering it to improve its impact. Arnold only relates to identifying individual words of phrases, and simply replacing them with other words of phrases, while the syntax remains the same.

For example, as disclosed in Lines 14-42 of Column 5, Arnold processed the sentence: "Soon is the hour for some strong people to come to the help of the club", where the words: soon, hour, some, strong, people, help and club are deemed to be of a low value, and are individually replaced with stronger alternatives. The result is the sentence: "Now is the time for all good men to come to the aid of the party". This process does not involve any evaluation of the syntactical features of the whole original

sentence, and its replacement with a different fundamental syntax structure, as in the present invention.

This process is substantially different from the present invention. As demonstrated in the specification from page 3 to page 6, the present invention changes the "syntax arrangement" by rearranging the sentence with different sentence structure and grammar. Although the present invention does involve the replacement of words for others, but it does this only as a sub-feature of the main process of evaluating and changing the syntax.

To emphasize that the present invention alters the syntax, claims 1, 14 and 15 has been amended to clarify that the syntax means is adapted to identify predetermined syntax arrangements of groups of words formed into clauses or sentences in inputted text, and to provide alternative clauses or sentences in which the syntax arrangement of the group of words has been changed.


It is respectfully submitted that Arnold does not teach a syntax means altering the syntax arrangement of a sentence. Therefore the present invention is patentable over Arnold.

#### Conclusion

In view of the foregoing and the enclosed, it is respectfully submitted that the application patentable over the cited reference and is in condition for allowance, such action is respectfully requested. Should any further fees or extensions of time be necessary in order to maintain this Application in pending condition, appropriate requests are hereby made and authorization is given to debit Account # 02-2275.

Respectfully submitted,  
LUCAS & MERCANTI, LLP

By:

  
Donald C. Lucas, 31275  
Attorney for Applicant(s)  
475 Park Avenue South, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
Tel. # 212-661-8000

DCL/YDC